


# Comparing different methods to define a TMRad for a complex decomposition

F. Abbiati, Safety Lab Dottikon Exclusive Synthesis AG

## 1) Challenge

To define the safety temperature of 65° for a distillation residue for at least 18 hours. The DSC from the residue showed a complex, multi-steps decomposition with a high energy of 650 J/g with an onset at 90°C.



$$q_0 = q_{onset} * \exp\left(\frac{E_a}{R} * \left(\frac{1}{T_{onset}} - \frac{1}{T_0}\right)\right)$$

TMRad estimation

$$TMR = \frac{cp^*R*T_0^2}{q_T * E_a}$$


gives for the maximum wall temperature of 65°C a TMRad time of 4 h, needed was > 18 h !

Is it possible to gain a more realistic TMRad ? More measurements with other equipments and methods of the safety lab of Dottikon ES were performed.

TMRad estimation; Safety and Environmental Technology Group, ETH Zürich


## 2) Results

### 2a) Adiabatic run; heat loss compensation



- A. Run in steel vessel with glass inliner, at 85°, m: 1.45 g  
→ no detection, sensitivity to low
- B. Run in glass vessel closed, at 85°, m: 6.83 g  
→ adiabatic run
- C. Run in glass vessel closed, at 65°, m: 4.24 g  
→ adiabatic run


TMR-Plot from run by 65°



Radex-FlexyTSC; Systag System Technik AG

Critical points for adiabatic calorimetry were amount of sample, bottle material, behaviour of sample (one or multi-step decomposition), heat pipes, running method, handling, ...)

### 2 b) Dewar run; heat loss prevention




Run in 1 litre Dewar vessel (glass), at 65°, m: 621 g  
→ runaway in 20 h

Sedex oven with 1 Litre dewar; Systag System Technik AG

## Comparing different methods to define a TMRad for a complex decomposition


F. Abbiati, Safety Lab Dottikon Exclusive Synthesis AG

2c) more DSC's → Friedman analysis, kinetic and simulation



DSC runs with 8, 4, 2, 1 K/min  
Kinetic with multivariate, non-linear regression

5-steps reaction model: independent and follow reaction type: nth order  
every step with different kinetic parameters



Adiabatic simulation

Netzsch Thermokinetics and Simulation by J. Opfermann†

Kinetic parameters for every step

Step	Concentration [%]	Pre-exponential factor	Activation energy [kJ/mol]	order
first	29	7.3	94	2.6
second	42	11.3	112	1.8
third	7	4.4	71	0.8
fourth	16	-1.5	13	0.19
fifth	6	4.3	72	0.47

Reasonable kinetic values

### 3) Comparing TMRad results for 65°C

Estimation from one DSC run; target → safety margin	<b>4 h</b>	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;"> <div style="margin-right: 10px;">conservative</div> <div style="margin-left: 10px;">more realistic</div> </div>
TMR plot from adiabatic mode, run at 85°C → extrapolation	<b>6 h</b>	
TMR plot from adiabatic mode, run at 65°C	<b>12 h</b>	
1 Litre dewar run in Sedex oven	<b>20 h</b>	
DSC with kinetic and simulation software	<b>20 h</b>	

### 4) Conclusions

- Never trust only one equipment or method
- Sophisticated software are good tools, if match with measurement is reached
- Macrocalorimetry, used under specific conditions → simple method for realistic results
- Adiabatic calorimetry, if accurate applied, good method to gain less conservative views